

Tempered functions in derived analytic geometry

joint with F. Bambozzi, B. Chiarellotto

Pietro Vanni

Università degli studi di Padova

Padova, September 2024

Notation

Notation

- K will be a nonarchimedean complete valued field of characteristic 0, with valuation ring \mathcal{V} and residue field k .

Notation

- K will be a nonarchimedean complete valued field of characteristic 0, with valuation ring \mathcal{V} and residue field k .
- R will be a nonarchimedean Banach ring over K .

Notation

- K will be a nonarchimedean complete valued field of characteristic 0, with valuation ring \mathcal{V} and residue field k .
- R will be a nonarchimedean Banach ring over K .
- Ban_R will be the category of nonarchimedean Banach modules over R with bounded morphisms.

Notation

- K will be a nonarchimedean complete valued field of characteristic 0, with valuation ring \mathcal{V} and residue field k .
- R will be a nonarchimedean Banach ring over K .
- Ban_R will be the category of nonarchimedean Banach modules over R with bounded morphisms.
- CBorn_R will be the category of *complete bornological modules* over R .

Bornological modules

Bornological modules

The category \mathbf{CBorn}_R is a *quasi-abelian category* (Bambozzi-Ben Bassat).

Bornological modules

The category CBorn_R is a *quasi-abelian category* (Bambozzi-Ben Bassat).

Remark

We can make sense of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R)$.

Bornological modules

The category \mathbf{CBorn}_R is a *quasi-abelian category* (Bambozzi-Ben Bassat).

Remark

We can make sense of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{CBorn}_R)$.

The ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{CBorn}_R)$ is a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category (Kelly).

Bornological modules

The category CBorn_R is a *quasi-abelian category* (Bambozzi-Ben Bassat).

Remark

We can make sense of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R)$.

The ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R)$ is a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category (Kelly).

Example

The polynomial algebra $R[t]$ is an element of CBorn_R .

Tempered functions

Tempered functions

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote by $R[[t]]_n$ the Banach module of power series with *log-growth* bounded by n :

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} a_i t^i \in R[[t]] : a_i \in R, \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |a_i|_R (i+1)^{-n} < \infty \right\}.$$

Tempered functions

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote by $R[[t]]_n$ the Banach module of power series with *log-growth* bounded by n :

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} a_i t_i \in R[[t]] : a_i \in R, \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |a_i|_R (i+1)^{-n} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $n = 0$, we obtain the bounded series $R[[t]]_0$.

Tempered functions

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote by $R[[t]]_n$ the Banach module of power series with *log-growth* bounded by n :

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} a_i t^i \in R[[t]] : a_i \in R, \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |a_i|_R (i+1)^{-n} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $n = 0$, we obtain the bounded series $R[[t]]_0$.

Definition

We set

$$R[[t]]_{\text{temp}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} R[[t]]_n.$$

Tempered functions

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we denote by $R[[t]]_n$ the Banach module of power series with *log-growth* bounded by n :

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} a_i t_i \in R[[t]] : a_i \in R, \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} |a_i|_R (i+1)^{-n} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $n = 0$, we obtain the bounded series $R[[t]]_0$.

Definition

We set

$$R[[t]]_{\text{temp}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} R[[t]]_n.$$

These are the *tempered functions* over R . They form an algebra in CBorn_R .

Tempered functions

Usually there is a correspondence:

algebras of “functions” \leftrightarrow regions of “spaces”.

Tempered functions

Usually there is a correspondence:

algebras of “functions” \leftrightarrow regions of “spaces”.

Example

Tate algebra $K\langle t \rangle \leftrightarrow$ closed unit ball $\mathbb{B}_1(1)$ in the rigid affine line over K :
 $\mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{an}}$.

Tempered functions

Usually there is a correspondence:

algebras of “functions” \leftrightarrow regions of “spaces”.

Example

Tate algebra $K\langle t \rangle \leftrightarrow$ closed unit ball $\mathbb{B}_1(1)$ in the rigid affine line over K :
 $\mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{an}}$.

What about tempered functions?

Tempered functions

Usually there is a correspondence:

algebras of “functions” \leftrightarrow regions of “spaces”.

Example

Tate algebra $K\langle t \rangle \leftrightarrow$ closed unit ball $\mathbb{B}_1(1)$ in the rigid affine line over K :
 $\mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{an}}$.

What about tempered functions?

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category.

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category.

There is a way to define a topological space associated to \mathcal{C} (Clausen and Scholze).

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category.

There is a way to define a topological space associated to \mathcal{C} (Clausen and Scholze).

Definition

Let $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$ be the ∞ -category of commutative algebras in \mathcal{C} . A morphism $A \rightarrow A' \in \text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$ is called *homotopy epimorphism* if

$$A' \otimes_A A' \cong A'.$$

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category.

There is a way to define a topological space associated to \mathcal{C} (Clausen and Scholze).

Definition

Let $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$ be the ∞ -category of commutative algebras in \mathcal{C} . A morphism $A \rightarrow A' \in \text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$ is called *homotopy epimorphism* if

$$A' \otimes_A A' \cong A'.$$

Let $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of homotopy epimorphisms of the form $1 \rightarrow A$, for $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

One can prove that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ is a poset.

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

One can prove that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ is a poset.

This poset is a cocomplete distributive lattice that satisfies the infinite distributive law for meets over joins $\implies \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the poset of closed subset of a topological space T .

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

One can prove that $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{C})$ is a poset.

This poset is a cocomplete distributive lattice that satisfies the infinite distributive law for meets over joins $\implies \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the poset of closed subset of a topological space T .

This picture is “dual” to the classical point of view of homotopical algebraic geometry.

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Using the duality between distributive lattice and coherent spaces (Johnstone) we constructed a spectral space $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a basis of compact open subsets of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$.

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Using the duality between distributive lattice and coherent spaces (Johnstone) we constructed a spectral space $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a basis of compact open subsets of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$.

This space is naturally endowed with a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})}$ with values in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$, defined by

$$U_A \mapsto A,$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ and U_A is the open set in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponding to A .

The spectrum of a closed symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category

Using the duality between distributive lattice and coherent spaces (Johnstone) we constructed a spectral space $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a basis of compact open subsets of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$.

This space is naturally endowed with a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})}$ with values in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$, defined by

$$U_A \mapsto A,$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{C})$ and U_A is the open set in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponding to A .

The space $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{C})$ recovers the (formal) homotopical Zariski Grothendieck topology on $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{C})$.

The derived affine line over R

The derived affine line over R

If \mathcal{R} is an object in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R))$, we can specialize the above construction to $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$ obtaining the *derived analytic spectrum* of \mathcal{R} : $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R})$.

The derived affine line over R

If \mathcal{R} is an object in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R))$, we can specialize the above construction to $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$ obtaining the *derived analytic spectrum* of \mathcal{R} : $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R})$.

Definition

The derived analytic affine line over R is

$$\mathbf{A}_R^{1,\text{der}} = \mathfrak{S}(R[t]).$$

The derived affine line over R

If \mathcal{R} is an object in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R))$, we can specialize the above construction to $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$ obtaining the *derived analytic spectrum* of \mathcal{R} : $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{R})$.

Definition

The derived analytic affine line over R is

$$\mathbf{A}_R^{1,\text{der}} = \mathfrak{S}(R[t]).$$

If $R = K$, $K[t] \rightarrow K\langle t \rangle$ and affinoid localizations are homotopy epimorphisms in CBorn_K (Ben Bassat-Kremnizer and Ben Bassat-Mukherjee) \implies this picture “refines” rigid analytic geometry.

The derived affine line over R

This also perspective allows one to speak about functions that are defined by “arithmetic conditions” (like tempered functions) as “geometric” functions (Scholze).

The derived affine line over R

This also perspective allows one to speak about functions that are defined by “arithmetic conditions” (like tempered functions) as “geometric” functions (Scholze).

Proposition

The inclusion

$$R[t] \hookrightarrow R[[t]]_{\text{temp}}$$

is an homotopy epimorphism in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R))$.

The derived affine line over R

This also perspective allows one to speak about functions that are defined by “arithmetic conditions” (like tempered functions) as “geometric” functions (Scholze).

Proposition

The inclusion

$$R[t] \hookrightarrow R[[t]]_{\text{temp}}$$

is an homotopy epimorphism in $\text{Comm}(\mathcal{D}(\text{CBorn}_R))$.

In particular $R[[t]]_{\text{temp}}$ defines an open *tempered unit ball*: $\mathbf{B}_{\text{temp}}^1(1)$ in $\mathbf{A}_R^{1,\text{der}}$.

The derived affine line over R

We have for example that

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{open}}^1(1) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{temp}}^1(1) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{bound}}^1(1) \subset \mathbb{B}^1(1),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{\text{open}}^1(1)$, $\mathbf{B}_{\text{bound}}^1(1)$ and $\mathbb{B}^1(1)$ are the open unit balls in $\mathbf{A}_R^{1,\text{der}}$ associated respectively to $R\{\{t\}\}$ (the series convergent in $|\cdot|_R < 1$), $R[[t]]_0$ and $R\langle t \rangle$ (they all define homotopy epimorphisms from the unit).

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Let

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{y} = G\mathbf{y} \quad (1)$$

be a differential system over $K\langle t \rangle$ ($G \in M_d(K\langle t \rangle)$).

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Let

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{y} = G\mathbf{y} \quad (1)$$

be a differential system over $K\langle t \rangle$ ($G \in M_d(K\langle t \rangle)$).

By formal Cauchy's theorem the differential system (1) admits a full set of formal solutions $\mathcal{Y} \in GL_d(K[[t]])$, $d \in \mathbf{N}$. If $K = \mathbb{C}$ solutions converge everywhere. But not in the p -adic world!

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Let w be a formal variable. Functions over K can be developed at w (the “generic point”) via the morphism of differential rings

$$\tau : K\langle t \rangle \rightarrow K\langle t \rangle[[w]]_0,$$

$$\tau : f(t) \mapsto \sum_i \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^i \frac{f}{i!} \right) w^i.$$

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Let w be a formal variable. Functions over K can be developed at w (the “generic point”) via the morphism of differential rings

$$\tau : K\langle t \rangle \rightarrow K\langle t \rangle[[w]]_0,$$

$$\tau : f(t) \mapsto \sum_i \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^i \frac{f}{i!} \right) w^i.$$

We obtain a new differential system

$$\frac{d}{dw} \mathbf{y} = \tau(G) \mathbf{y}. \tag{2}$$

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Theorem (Dwork)

The radius of convergence of the formal solutions of the differential system (1) is bounded below by the radius of convergence of the development at the generic point.

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Theorem (Dwork)

The radius of convergence of the formal solutions of the differential system (1) is bounded below by the radius of convergence of the development at the generic point.

In Berkovich spaces the generic point corresponds to the point given by the Gauss norm \implies this theorem can be interpreted as a continuity result (Baldassarri, Poineau-Pulita).

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Other properties of solutions of differential systems can be checked at the generic point, for example the property of having bounded log-growth.

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Other properties of solutions of differential systems can be checked at the generic point, for example the property of having bounded log-growth.

Theorem (Christol)

If the development of \mathcal{Y} is in $GL_d(K\langle t \rangle[[w]]_{\text{temp}})$ then $\mathcal{Y} \in GL_d(K[[t]]_{\text{temp}})$.

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

Other properties of solutions of differential systems can be checked at the generic point, for example the property of having bounded log-growth.

Theorem (Christol)

If the development of \mathcal{Y} is in $GL_d(K\langle t \rangle[[w]]_{\text{temp}})$ then $\mathcal{Y} \in GL_d(K[[t]]_{\text{temp}})$.

The theorem above can be interpreted as a continuity theorem in our framework.

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{A}_K^{2,\text{der}} & \\ \tau \swarrow & & \nwarrow t=0 \\ \mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{der}} & \xleftarrow{t \mapsto w} & \mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{der}} \end{array}$$

Application: Tempered transfer theorem

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{A}_K^{2,\text{der}} & \\ \tau \swarrow & & \nwarrow t=0 \\ \mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{der}} & \xleftarrow{t \mapsto w} & \mathbf{A}_K^{1,\text{der}} \end{array}$$

In particular if the solutions of (2) live in the open $\mathbf{B}_{\text{temp}}^2(1) \subset \mathbf{A}_K^{2,\text{der}}$, by continuity of the map $t = 0$, \mathcal{Y} lives in the inverse image open $\mathbf{B}_{\text{temp}}^1$.

Tempered convergent cohomology

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

- Let $X_k \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed embedding in a smooth formal scheme.

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

- Let $X_k \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed embedding in a smooth formal scheme.
- Consider the open tube of radius one of X in the generic fiber P_K and then take the cohomology of the de Rham complex of it.

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

- Let $X_k \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed embedding in a smooth formal scheme.
- Consider the open tube of radius one of X in the generic fiber P_K and then take the cohomology of the de Rham complex of it.
- The result is independent upon all the choices.

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

- Let $X_k \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed embedding in a smooth formal scheme.
- Consider the open tube of radius one of X in the generic fiber P_K and then take the cohomology of the de Rham complex of it.
- The result is independent upon all the choices.

Example

Consider $X_k = \{pt\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_y^{1,\text{an}}$; here the tube is $\mathbf{B}_{\text{open}}^1(1)$. The cohomology is computed by

$$0 \rightarrow K\{\{t\}\} \xrightarrow{\partial} K\{\{t\}\} dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Tempered convergent cohomology

Let X_k be a k -scheme.

Construction of classical rigid convergent cohomology:

- Let $X_k \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed embedding in a smooth formal scheme.
- Consider the open tube of radius one of X in the generic fiber P_K and then take the cohomology of the de Rham complex of it.
- The result is independent upon all the choices.

Example

Consider $X_k = \{pt\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_V^{1,\text{an}}$; here the tube is $\mathbf{B}_{\text{open}}^1(1)$. The cohomology is computed by

$$0 \rightarrow K\{\{t\}\} \xrightarrow{\partial} K\{\{t\}\} dt \rightarrow 0.$$

But we can take $\mathbf{B}_{\text{temp}}^1(1)$ instead of $\mathbf{B}_{\text{open}}^1(1)$ and we obtain the same.

Thank you for your attention!